

8 March 2016

## ADVICE ON A GATEWAY REVIEW REQUEST BALMAIN LEAGUES CLUB, DARLING STREET/VICTORIA ROAD, ROZELLE

#### **REQUEST FOR ADVICE**

On 5 February 2016, the Deputy Secretary of the Department of Planning and Environment (the Department) requested that the Planning Assessment Commission (the Commission) provide advice on a Gateway review determination for the Balmain Leagues Club site. The proponent, Leichhardt Municipal Council (Council), is seeking a review of the determination that their Planning Proposal to amend the *Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2013* for the site, should not proceed.

The Department requested that the Commission "*review the planning proposal and provide advice concerning the merits of the request for review*". Ms Lynelle Briggs AO, Chair of the Commission, appointed Ms Abigail Goldberg (chair) and Ms Annabelle Pegrum AM to constitute the Commission for this matter.

#### BACKGROUND

The site, previously the base of the Balmain Leagues Club, is currently subject to the *Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan 2000* (LLEP 2000) having been deferred from the *Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan 2013* (LLEP 2013).

The site has been the subject of a number of planning proposals and determinations including:

Proposal	Summary description	Status
Proposal 2010: Development Application D2009/352 2010	<ul> <li>Summary description</li> <li>Demolition of existing structures, excavation and remediation; and construction of a mixed use development including: <ul> <li>145 dwellings within townhouses and apartments and three residential flat buildings;</li> <li>Retail shops, restaurants, a supermarket and commercial offices;</li> <li>A public plaza, new leagues club and an infill building;</li> <li>Car parking for 550 vehicles; and</li> <li>A pedestrian bridge across Victoria Road.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Refused by the Sydney Region East Joint Regional Planning Panel (JRPP) on 9 July 2010 for the following reasons: <ul> <li>a. Proposal exceeds the FSR and height per LLEP 2000;</li> <li>b. Proposal has an unacceptable impact on traffic;</li> <li>c. The proposal has not satisfied the Council's Design Review Panel on the quality of design; and</li> <li>d. The proposal does not provide high amenity within apartments.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The JRPP advised that it would require any future application to demonstrate complete</li> </ul>
	-	future application to demonstrate complete compliance with LLEP 2000; a report that
		traffic impacts are acceptable; and support from Council's Design Review Panel regarding design quality and amenity.

Proposal	Summary description	Status	
2014:	Demolition of existing structures,	On 11 April 2014, the Planning Assessment	
Part 3A	excavation and remediation; and	Commission refused this application for the	
Development	construction of a mixed use development	following reasons:	
Application	including:	1. Adverse impacts on the operation of	
(MP11_0015)	• Two towers of 24 and 20 storeys in	the surrounding road network;	
( 11_0010)	height;	2. Adverse impacts on bus services,	
	<ul> <li>247 residential apartments;</li> </ul>	including significant increased travel	
	<ul> <li>Retail space including a supermarket,</li> </ul>	times for routes along Darling Street;	
	mini-major and speciality retail;	3. The development does not allow	
	<ul> <li>A new Balmain Leagues Club;</li> </ul>	vehicles to exit the site from Victoria	
	_	Road safely and efficiently; and	
	Community and commercial facilities	4. By virtue of reasons 1, 2 and 3 above,	
	including a childcare centre, medical	the development is not considered to	
	centre, commercial office space; and	be in the public interest.	
	• Car parking for 488 vehicles on site	be in the public interest.	
	and new traffic arrangements.	In its determination report, the Commission	
		noted that in its view the provisions in LLEP	
		2000 (as amended) provide an appropriate	
		framework for the site's future	
		redevelopment.	
2015:	Domolition of existing structures and	The development application (DA) was	
Development	Demolition of existing structures and remediation of the site; and construction of	submitted by Rozelle Village Pty Ltd (the	
Application	a mixed use development including:	landowner) to Council on 14 August 2015.	
(D/2015/438)	<ul> <li>Two residential towers of 12 and 8</li> </ul>	landowner) to council on 14 August 2013.	
(D/2013/438)	storeys in height comprising 135	On 13 October 2015, the landowner lodged	
	apartments;	an appeal against Council's 'Deemed	
	<ul> <li>Public plaza levels containing</li> </ul>	Refusal' of the DA at the Land and	
	speciality retail areas, supermarket	Environment Court. The development	
	and a new club premises;	application had not proceeded to the JRPP	
	<ul> <li>A new infill commercial building;</li> </ul>	for consideration, and instead Court	
		proceedings on the matter are currently	
		underway.	
	Associated car parking.		
2015:	The Planning Proposal sought to amend	Council submitted the Planning Proposal to	
Planning	LLEP 2013 by rezoning the site from	the Department on 24 August 2015.	
Proposal	'Business' to 'B2 – Local Centre' and		
·	introducing a site specific floor space ratio	On 2 October 2015, the Gateway Panel of	
	(FSR), a maximum building height of 6 – 8	the Department determined that the	
	storeys and minimum building setback	application should not proceed past	
	standards.	Gateway. The rationale for this decision	
		was:	
		1. Inconsistency with Section 117	
		Directions;	
		2. Inconsistency with SEPP 32 Urban	
		Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban	
		Land);	
		3. The significant reduction in	
		development potential for the site	
		inconsistent with A Plan for Growing	
		Sydney;	
		4. Insufficient justification for the	
		reduction in development capacity,	
		particularly considering the advice of	
		the (former) Commission in its 2014	
		refusal of the Part 3A development	
		application for the site.	

## **REQUEST FOR ADVICE 2016: GATEWAY DETERMINATION REVIEW**

Council requested a review of the Gateway determination that the Planning Proposal should not proceed on 2 November 2015. As noted above, the Department has requested the advice of the Commission on this matter.

In undertaking its review, the Commission considered the current planning context, reviewed the Planning Proposal and response of the Gateway Panel, undertook a site visit and met with both the Department and Council. The outcome of these processes are summarised below.

The Commission also sought internal legal advice considering that its review process is taking place simultaneous with proceedings in the Court related to the same site. In this regard, the Commission was advised that the two processes are separate, and able to proceed in parallel.

#### Planning context:

The Commission notes that the site is currently identified as a 'Deferred Matter' under LLEP 2013. Consequently, the LLEP 2000 provisions continue to apply to the site. Pursuant to LLEP 2000, the site is zoned 'Business', has a FSR of 3.9:1 and a maximum building height of 12 storeys.

The Planning Proposal seeks to amend LLEP 2013 to include provisions for the site. It is proposed that the site be rezoned to 'B2 Local Centre' and have a base FSR of 1:1, with separate incentives of 0.5:1 for mixed use development incorporating active street frontage, and 0.9:1 for mixed use development incorporating a registered club; a maximum building height of 6-8 storeys; the allocation of a range of gross floor areas for residential, commercial, retail and club uses; and minimum setbacks for building in the precinct.

The Commission visited the site and its surroundings on 23 February 2016. The Commission noted the run-down and derelict condition of the site, and the negative impact of this on the surrounding context.

## **Commission meetings:**

The Commission met with the Department on 23 February 2016. Key issues discussed included:

- The history and deferred planning status of the site in LLEP 2013;
- The timing of the provision of the Commission's advice having regard to the status of the current Land and Environment Court case that is underway; and
- The Department's views on the Planning Proposal.

The Commission also met with Council, the Applicant, on 23 February 2016. Key issues discussed included:

- The history of the site;
- Traffic and transport concerns for Victoria Road;
- Concerns of Council and the local community regarding the re-instatement of the Balmain Leagues Club within the precinct;
- Studies undertaken by Council in response to the JRPP 2010 considerations;
- The current statutory provisions and Council's desire to update LLEP 2013 to remove the deferred status for the site; and

• The status of the development application currently under consideration by the Land and Environment Court.

A summary of these meetings is attached at **Appendix 1**.

#### COMMISSION'S COMMENTS

The Commission has carefully reviewed the background of this application, the merits of the Planning Proposal, the views of Council and the Department, Council's reasons set out in their justification assessment for Gateway Review, and the Department's Gateway Panel report.

The Commission acknowledges Council's concern that the planning framework for the site should be updated, and that current and forecast traffic patterns in particular should be taken into account. The Commission also notes that the indicative urban design outcome proposed by Council is based on appropriate urban design principles.

The Commission agrees with the Department panel consideration of September 2015 that the proposed B2 Local Centre zone is appropriate and that the Balmain Leagues Club precinct should, in principle, be included in LLEP 2013.

The Commission is also of the view that there is merit in re-examining site specific controls for the precinct in LLEP 2013. However, the Commission notes that only one possible design outcome appears to have been tested by Council in a precinct where other development strategies would be possible. Moreover the planning proposal does not appear to have been benchmarked, including for site development and density potential, as would be expected in a rigorous planning process, and in particular with reference to the current capability of LLEP 2000. There is limited justification therefore for the reduction in development capability currently within LLEP 2000. The Commission also notes that nothing within LLEP 2000 would preclude the type of development outcome envisaged by Council in the proposed amendment.

The Commission also observes that the Planning Proposal has been prepared without due consultation with the landowner, as would ordinarily be expected of a democratic, consultative planning process.

In summary, the Commission concurs with the Department that insufficient justification has been provided at this time for the proposal to proceed past Gateway.

#### COMMISSION'S ADVICE

The Commission's advice is for the review of the Planning Proposal in its current form not to proceed due to insufficient justification having been provided.

haban

Ms Abigail Goldberg Commission Chair

Pegrum

Ms Annabelle Pegrum AM Commission Member

Appendix 1 Meeting Notes

## Notes of Briefing from the Department of Planning and Environment – 23 February 2016

Meeting note taken by: Jade Shepherd	Date: Tuesday, 23 February 2016	Time: 2pm
Project: Gateway Review Advice – Balmain	Leagues Club	
Meeting place: Planning Assessment Comn	nission Office	
Attendees:		
Members of the Commission:		
Abigail Goldberg (chair)		
Annabelle Pegrum AM		
Commission Secretariat:		
Jade Shepherd – Planning Officer		
The Department of Planning and Environme Andrew Watkins – Planning Officer, Metrop Martin Cooper – Team Leader, Metropolitar Karen Armstrong – Director, Metropolitan (	oolitan (CBD)/Sydney Region East Plan n (CBD)/Sydney Region East Planning	Services
The purpose of the meeting: For the Depar	tment to brief the Commission on the	e project.
<ul> <li>development application for the site</li> <li>Department was of the view that the justification to demonstrate that the</li> <li>The following matters were also discussed:</li> <li>The Commission queried if the Deparegarding the Planning Proposal. The interaction with the landowner.</li> <li>The Commission advised the Depart the site is subject to Court proceeding had information about the current site</li> </ul>	tion of the site in LLEP 2013 because t e was still in the process of being asse e amended documentation did not pr e standards outlined in LLEP 2000 did artment had had discussions with the e Department indicated that it had ha ement that upon researching the site, ngs. The Commission queried whethe status of the Court case. The Departm	he former ssed. ovide sufficient not work. landowner id limited it had found that r the Department ent indicated that
	cation are scheduled for late March an 8 days from 12 February 2016 to prov	
project. The Commission requested	advice from the Department on the longitude of the second se	egal standing and
Documents: N/A		

Meeting closed: 2:30pm

# Notes of briefing from Leichhardt Municipal Council – 23 February 2016

Meeting note taken by: Jade Shepherd	Date: Tuesday, 23 February 2016	Time: 4pm			
Project: Gateway Review Advice – Balmain Leagues Club					
Meeting place: Leichardt Municipal Counc	cil Office				
Attendees:					
Members of the Commission:					
Abigail Goldberg (chair)					
Annabelle Pegrum AM					
Commission Secretariat:					
Jade Shepherd – Planning Officer					
Leichardt Municipal Council (Council): Clare Harley – Manager Environment and Mark Bonnano – Manager Legal Services	Urban				
The purpose of the meeting: For Council t	o brief the Commission on the project				
Council raised the following key matters: <u>Background</u> • Rozelle Village Pty Ltd is the land or	wner.				
<b>-</b> .	vide a revised Statement of Facts and	Contentions			
Balmain Leagues Club entered into	a Voluntary Planning Agreement (VPA atrols. The VPA needs to be amended I	•			
<ul> <li>There is no legal requirement for the for Council having regard to the council having regard to thaving regard to the council having regard to the c</li></ul>	ne club to return to the site. This is a s mmunity value of the club.	significant concern			
Balmain Tigers are currently rentin	g sites at Homebush and Five Dock.				
<ul> <li>Development Application (DA) in Court Pro</li> <li>The DA was lodged on 14 August 20</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>An appeal against the 'deemed refuce Court on 8 October 2015 and serve</li> <li>The DA was to be determined by the Council acknowledges that the current of the Council acknowledges that the current of the cu</li></ul>	usal' of the DA was filed with the Land ed on Council on 13 October 2015.	and FSR standards.			
	Street, the built form and that no affo				

The Planning Proposal

• Council had undertaken considerable planning work on the site post the JRPP consideration in 2010.

- The Planning Proposal is an important 'tidiness exercise' to update LLEP 2013.
- Hill PDA has concluded that Council's proposed scheme would still provide the developer with a reasonable rate of return.
- There has been limited discussion between Council and the landowner about the Planning Proposal.
- Council is of the view that the proposed standards would reduce traffic impacts from any development on the site.
- Victoria Road already experiences heavy traffic and there will be increased traffic in the future. The road is projected to be a transfer corridor under the 'Plan for Growing Sydney'. There will be West Connex Portals in Rozelle. One lane will be allocated as a 'rapid bus transfer' lane.
- The concept plan approval for the Sydney Metro railway is still in place, affecting the site, but this project is considered unlikely to proceed in the form anticipated.

## Documents: N/A

Meeting closed: 5pm